

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,864	02/24/2004	Hiroshi Miyanari	1232-5309	3636	
27123 MORGAN & F	7590 04/04/2007 FINNEGAN, L.L.P.		EXAMINER		
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER			KHAN, USMAN A		
NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2622	2622		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/04/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/786,864	MIYANARI ET AL				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Usman Khan	2622				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
	· · ·	action is non-final.					
• —							
.—	closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
	_						
· ·							
	on Papers						
_	•	-					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)[10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
44)[]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	• •			٠			
2) ☐ Notic 3) ⊠ Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/786,864

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11/08/2004 has been considered by the examiner. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 7 - 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claims 7 - 8 define a program and storage medium, respectively. However, the claims do not define a computer-readable

Art Unit: 2622

medium and is thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized" – Guidelines Annex IV). That is, the scope of the presently claimed program and storage medium respectively can range from paper on which the program and storage medium respectively is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 - 3 and 5 - 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kohashi et al. (US patent No 6,642,960).

Regarding 1, Kohashi et al. teaches an image sensing apparatus (abstract and column 2 lines 5 et seq.) comprising: a plurality of pixels (column 2 lines 14 – 34, image pickup device composed of two-dimensionally arrayed pixels); a first calculating portion which creates correction data by performing computation using signals which are acquired by image sensing in an unexposed state (figure 4A item 21-1 and column 13

Application/Control Number: 10/786,864

Art Unit: 2622

lines 4 et seq.) and smaller in number than said plurality of pixels (column 12 lines 13 et seq. and column 13 lines 51 et seq., region surrounding a fault pixel); and a second calculating portion which corrects image data of said plurality of pixels, acquired by image sensing in an exposed state, by using the correction data (figure 2 items 31-1 et seq. and column 13 lines 62 et seq.).

Regarding **claim 2**, as mentioned above in the discussion of claim 1, Kohashi et al. teaches all of the limitations of the parent claim. Additionally, Kohashi et al. teaches that said first calculating portion changes the number of signals to be used-for creation of correction data in accordance with a sensitivity condition set at the time of image sensing (figure 9A – 9K; also column 14 lines 59 et seq. the pattern changes on an edge condition resulting in a varying signal used for correction).

Regarding **claim 3**, as mentioned above in the discussion of claim 1, Kohashi et al. teaches all of the limitations of the parent claim. Additionally, Kohashi et al. teaches that the said plurality of pixels are arrayed in the horizontal direction and the vertical direction (column 2 lines 14 – 34, image pickup device composed of two-dimensionally arrayed pixels; also figures 1, 2, 7, 9, 10, 12, 16, 18-22, and 24-47), and said first calculating portion creates the correction data by vertically mixing signals (column 13 line 62 – column 14 line 12; vertical direction pixel interpolating) from pixels which are smaller in number than said plurality of pixels and arrayed in the horizontal direction and the vertical direction (column 13 line 62 – column 14 line 12 region surrounding a fault

Art Unit: 2622

pixel; and column 12 lines 13 et seq. and column 13 lines 51 et seq., region surrounding

a fault pixel).

Regarding claim 5, as mentioned above in the discussion of claim 1, Kohashi et

al. teaches all of the limitations of the parent claim. Additionally, it is inherent that the

first calculation portion will operate in accordance with when the image sensing

apparatus is powered on via a power switch.

Regarding 6, Kohashi et al. teaches a control method for an image sensing

apparatus (abstract and column 2 lines 5 et seq.) having a plurality of pixels (column 2

lines 14 - 34, image pickup device composed of two-dimensionally arrayed pixels);

comprising: a first calculating step which creates correction data by performing

computation using signals which are acquired by image sensing in an unexposed state

(figure 4A item 21-1 and column 13 lines 4 et seq.) and smaller in number than said

plurality of pixels (column 12 lines 13 et seq. and column 13 lines 51 et seq., region

surrounding a fault pixel); and a second calculating step which corrects image data of

said plurality of pixels, acquired by image sensing in an exposed state, by using the

correction data (figure 2 items 31-1 et seq. and column 13 lines 62 et seq.).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/786,864

Art Unit: 2622

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kohashi et al. (US patent No 6,642,960) in further view of Hamasaki (US patent No 5,335,008).

Regarding **claim 4**, as mentioned above in the discussion of claim 3, Kohashi et al. teaches all of the limitations of the parent claim. Additionally, Kohashi et al. teaches that said first calculating portion creates the correction data by vertically mixing signals from pixels (column 13 line 62 – column 14 line 12; vertical direction pixel interpolating), which are smaller in number than said plurality of pixels and arrayed in the horizontal direction and the vertical direction, through the corresponding amplifiers (column 13 line 62 – column 14 line 12 region surrounding a fault pixel; and column 12 lines 13 *et seq.* and column 13 lines 51 *et seq.*, region surrounding a fault pixel).

However, Kohashi et al. fails to disclose an amplifier for each array of pixels arrayed and plurality of pixels and arrayed in the horizontal direction and the vertical direction, through the corresponding amplifiers. Hamasaki, on the other hand discloses an amplifier for each array of pixels arrayed and plurality of pixels and arrayed in the horizontal direction and the vertical direction, through the corresponding amplifiers.

More specifically, Hamasaki discloses an amplifier for each array of pixels arrayed and plurality of pixels and arrayed in the horizontal direction and the vertical direction, through the corresponding amplifiers (column 2 lines 30 – 41).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Hamasaki with the

Art Unit: 2622

teachings of Kohashi et al. so that the fluctuation of a threshold voltage of a load MOS transistor connected to the vertical signal line can be reduced so that an aperture ratio can be increased as the vertical signal line is reduced in thickness as taught in column 2 lines 24 – 29 of Hamasaki.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tabei et al. (US patent No. 5,805,216) teaches boundary detection and defective pixel correction.

Pourjavid (US patent No. 6,529,622) teaches defective pixel correction.

Yamagishi (US patent No. 6,710,808) teaches defective pixel correction.

Miller (US PgPub 2002/0085667) teaches defective pixel correction.

Lee (US PgPub 2002/0097446) teaches defective pixel correction.

Harada (US PgPub 2002/0015111) teaches defective pixel correction.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Usman Khan whose telephone number is (571) 270-1131. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thru 6:45-4:15; Fri 6:45-3:15 or Alt. Fri off.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 10/786,864

Art Unit: 2622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Usman Khan 03/30/2007

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2622

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER